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ABSTRACT

Rotaviruses have been recognized as an important etiological agent of non-bacterial acute gastroenteritis in young children and animals of several species worldwide, including diarrhoea in weaning and post-weaning piglets. In this study, we report the prevalence and molecular epidemiology of rotaviruses detected from piglets in different regions of the north-eastern hilly region of India. A total of 457 faecal samples (339 diarrhoeal and 118 non-diarrhoeal) were collected from piglets from local (n = 130) and cross breed (n = 327) piglets between July 2013 to June 2015 in different seasons of the year. All the samples were subjected to RNA-PAGE and RT-PCR analysis. Rotaviruses were detected in 4.81% animals by RNA-PAGE and 7.43% animals by RT-PCR, with the highest prevalence (9.67%) from Meghalaya state. All the isolates were recorded as GARV and genogroup 5. The prevalence was higher in unorganized farms (10.77%) compared to organized farms (4.0%) with higher detection from diarrhoeic (9.14%) compared to non-diarrhoeic animals (2.54%). A higher prevalence was also recorded during the summer (12.5%) and winter (9.09%) seasons. On the basis of the sequence analysis, all the isolates were placed in a unique single cluster, different from other Indian isolates from humans and animals, which were in close proximity with human isolates. This is the first report of the detection of G5 Rotavirus associated with piglet diarrhoea in India.
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Introduction

Rotavirus, a member of the Reoviridae family, is a major aetiological agent of acute non-bacterial gastroenteritis in a wide variety of young mammalian and avian species (JUNAID et al., 2011; WAKUDA et al., 2011). Rotavirus infection frequently occurs in nursing pigs at 1 to 5 weeks of age, with a peak incidence at 1 to 3 weeks, and again at
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about 2 to 7 days post weaning (CASTRO and HEUSCHELE, 1992). The viral genome consists of eleven segments; each segment is a gene, numbered 1 to 11 by decreasing size. Each gene codes for one protein, except gene 11, which codes for two proteins, viz., NSP5 and NSP6 (CHAN et al., 1986). There are 6 structural proteins (VP1, VP2, VP3, VP4, VP6 and VP7) and six non-structural proteins (NSP1 to NSP6) (LORROT and VASSEUR, 2007; WILHELMI et al., 2003). On the basis of the antigenic properties of the VP6 protein, rotaviruses have been divided into 5 serological groups (A-E) and two additional tentative groups (F and G) (MATTHIJNSSENS et al., 2011). Of these seven groups, only groups A, B and C are known to infect humans and animals, whereas, group D, E, F and G are found only in animals, mostly birds (BROOR et al., 2003). Another group H has been added to the existing seven groups of Rotavirus, which was initially identified in humans (YANG et al., 2004) and later on classified as group H (MATTHIJNSSENS et al., 2011). A group H-like rotavirus has also been detected in pigs (WAKUDA et al., 2011). Group A rotaviruses are most often responsible for diarrhoea in piglets (HALAIHEL et al., 2010; LINARES et al., 2009; MARTELLA et al., 2007; WINIARCZYK et al., 2002). However, rotaviruses such as groups B and C may also be responsible for episodes of diarrhoea in piglets (MARTELLA et al., 2007; SAIF and JIANG, 1994). Rotavirus strains are classified into VP4 or P serotypes (P for protease-sensitive) and VP7 or G serotypes (G for glycoprotein). Epidemiological studies of porcine rotaviruses in several countries have identified at least four main G types - G3, G4, G5 and G11, which are the most common (ESTES, 2001). However, other porcine rotaviruses, such as G1, G2, G6, G8, G9 and G10, have also been reported occasionally. P[6] and P[7] were found to be the most common P genotypes in porcine, while P[13], P[14], P[19], P[23] and P[26] were seldom reported [24]. In India, 4 types of G (G4, G6, G9, G12) and 4 types of P genotypes (P[6], P[7], P[13], P[19]) of rotaviruses have been detected from pigs so far (MALIK et al., 2014).

The North East Hilly Region of India is mainly inhabited by tribal populations, where pig farming is an integral part of life and a significant source of income, with the highest pig population (3.95 million) in India (19th Livestock census 2012). Porcine viral gastroenteritis is one of the most common diseases affecting the piggery industry in this region. In the present study, an attempt had been made to understand the prevalence of Rotavirus in piglets (below 3 months of age) with or without diarrhoea, and molecular characterization of porcine Rotavirus in the North Eastern Hilly Region of India.

Materials and methods

Collection of faecal samples. A total of 457 fresh faecal samples were collected from piglets (< 3 months) from organized (n = 225) and unorganized (n = 232) farms of 4 North Eastern Hilly states of India, viz., Manipur (n = 108), Meghalaya (n = 124), Mizoram (n = 120) and Nagaland (n = 105). Samples were collected from diarrhoeic (n = 339) and non-diarrhoeic (n = 118) piglets included indigenous local (n = 130) and cross breed (LWY
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x local germ plasma) (n = 327) piglets (Table 1). Samples were collected in 4 different seasons of the year, viz., spring (March-May) (n = 93), summer (June-August) (n = 128), autumn (September-November) (n = 104) and winter (December-February) (n = 132) from June 2014 to May 2016.

Sample processing and extraction of viral nucleic acids. A 10% suspension of each faecal sample was prepared with phosphate buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4) by dissolving 0.1 gram of faeces in 1 mL PBS. The suspension was vortexed for 2 min, followed by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C to remove the course debris. The clarified supernatant was collected and stored at 4 °C for short term storage, and -20 °C or -80 °C for longer term. RNA was extracted from the supernatant of the faecal samples by the Trizol extraction method, as per the WHO Manual (2009). The quality, quantity and purity of the total RNA was checked in a Nanodrop Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA).

Detection of dsRNA of Rotavirus by RNA-PAGE. Electrophoresis of the viral RNA was carried out (MALIK et al., 2014) in 10% native (non-denaturing) polyacrylamide gel in Tris-Glycine buffer (0.025 M Tris, 0.109 M Glycine, pH 8.3) by loading up to 500 ng of viral RNA per well. The viral genomic electrophoresis was also carried out in denaturing 5% polyacrylamide gel (containing 7 M Urea) in 1×TBE running buffer (8.9 mM Tris, 8.9 mM Boric acid, 0.2 mM EDTA, pH 8.3) by loading the same amount of viral RNA per well. Samples were electrophorosed at 100 V until the dye reached the end of the gel (approx. 4 h). The gel was silver impregnated and documented. For estimation of the molecular weight of the segments of Rotavirus, samples were run with group A Rotavirus and 1 kbps DNA ladder (Fermentas) on 1× agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and documented in the Gel doc system (Alpha Image, USA).

Detection of Rotavirus by RT-PCR. Characterization of rotavirus was done by RT-PCR targeting VP7 gene segment for Group A Rotavirus (F: TTGACTAARGGRTGCGCCAACWGG; R: TCGCATCATHCKYTCNGTTT GTGG) and VP6 gene segment for Group C Rotavirus (GABBAY et al., 2008). The PCR was conducted in a thin walled PCR tube in a total volume of 25 μL, containing 1× PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 μM of each dNTPs, 20 pM of each primer and 3.0 μL of previously synthesized cDNA. The PCR was performed in a thermal cycler (Mastercycler Gradient, Eppendorf, Germany) in cyclic conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 36 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 56 °C for GRA and 45 °C for GRC) for 30s, 72 °C for 45s and final extension at 72 °C for 8 min. The amplicons were analysed by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/mL) in Tris-borate buffer, visualized with a UV transilluminator and photographed by a gel documentation system (AlphaImager, USA).

Cloning and sequencing. The extracted PCR products were purified (QIAGEN kit) and cloned in TA cloning vector (MBI Fermentas) and sent for sequencing to a DNA sequencing facility, at the Department of Biochemistry, University of Delhi, South Campus, New Delhi, using an automated sequencer Excel Applied Biosystem 3730
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Sequencing data were analysed using the MegAlign program. Phylogenetic and bootstrap analyses were performed using neighbor joining and seqboot programmes. Partial nucleotide sequences of isolates from the present study have been deposited in NCBI GenBank (Accession nos. KT032186, KT032187, KT032188 and KT032189).

**Results**

*Prevalence of Rotavirus in piglets by RNA-PAGE.* The overall prevalence of *Rotavirus* in piglets by RNA-PAGE was recorded as 4.81% (22/457). Meghalaya showed the highest (6.45%) prevalence, followed by Manipur (5.56%), Mizoram (4.16%), and Nagaland (2.85%). The prevalence of *Rotavirus* was recorded higher in unorganized farms (6.46%) compared to organized farms (3.11%). Similarly, the rate of detection of *Rotavirus* from diarrhoeic animals was higher (5.89%) than non-diarrhoeic animals (1.69%) in all the states throughout the study period. The breed-wise analysis revealed that cross breed animals were more susceptible (5.50%) than the local breed of animals (3.07%) (Table 1).

**Table 1.** The prevalence of Group A rotavirus detected by RNA-PAGE from faecal samples of piglets in four NEH states of India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Positive samples</th>
<th>Diarrhoeic</th>
<th>Non-diarrhoeic</th>
<th>Local breed</th>
<th>Crossbreed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td>2/46 (4.35%)</td>
<td>2/32 (6.25%)</td>
<td>0/14</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>2/42 (4.76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td>4/62 (6.45%)</td>
<td>3/46 (6.52%)</td>
<td>1/16 (6.25%)</td>
<td>1/24 (4.16%)</td>
<td>3/38 (7.89%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6/108 (5.56%)</td>
<td>5/78 (6.41%)</td>
<td>1/30 (3.33%)</td>
<td>1/28 (3.57%)</td>
<td>5/80 (6.25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td>2/66 (3.03%)</td>
<td>2/48 (4.17%)</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>2/54 (3.70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td>6/58 (10.34%)</td>
<td>5/42 (11.90%)</td>
<td>1/16 (6.25%)</td>
<td>2/22 (9.09%)</td>
<td>4/36 (11.11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8/124 (6.45%)</td>
<td>7/90 (7.77%)</td>
<td>1/34 (2.94%)</td>
<td>2/34 (5.88%)</td>
<td>6/90 (6.66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td>2/57 (3.51%)</td>
<td>2/45 (4.44%)</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>0/14</td>
<td>2/43 (4.65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td>3/63 (4.76%)</td>
<td>3/52 (5.77%)</td>
<td>0/11</td>
<td>1/26 (3.85%)</td>
<td>2/37 (5.41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5/120 (4.16%)</td>
<td>5/97 (5.15%)</td>
<td>0/23 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1/40 (2.5%)</td>
<td>4/80 (5.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td>1/56 (1.79%)</td>
<td>1/38 (2.63%)</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>0/11</td>
<td>1/45 (2.22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td>2/49 (4.08%)</td>
<td>2/36 (5.56%)</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>0/17</td>
<td>2/32 (6.25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3/105 (2.85%)</td>
<td>3/74 (4.05%)</td>
<td>0/31 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0/28 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3/77 (3.89%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand total</td>
<td>22/457 (4.81%)</td>
<td>20/339 (5.89%)</td>
<td>2/118 (1.69%)</td>
<td>4/130 (3.07%)</td>
<td>18/327 (5.50%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Prevalence of Rotavirus in piglets by RT-PCR. A similar prevalence study was also recorded by RT-PCR. Details of the result are depicted in Table 2. The overall prevalence of Rotavirus based on RT-PCR was much higher (7.43%, 34/457) than the RNA-PAGE (4.81%, 22/457) analysis. All the positive samples were found to be within the Genogroup A Rotavirus only. Meghalaya showed the highest (9.67%) prevalence, followed by Manipur (8.33%), Mizoram (5.83%) and Nagaland (7.43%). The unorganized farms showed a higher prevalence (10.77%) compared to the organized farms (4.00%). The rate of detection of Rotavirus from diarrheic animals was higher (9.14%) than non-diarrheic animals (2.54%) in all the states throughout the study period. The breed-wise analysis also showed that cross breed animals were more susceptible (8.25%) than the local breed of animals (5.38%) (Table 2).

Table 2. The prevalence of Group A rotavirus detected by RT-PCR from faecal samples of piglets in four NEH states of India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Positive samples</th>
<th>Diarrhoeic</th>
<th>Non-diarrhoeic</th>
<th>Local breed</th>
<th>Crossbreed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td>3/46 (6.52%)</td>
<td>3/32 (9.38%)</td>
<td>0/14</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>3/42 (7.14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td>6/62 (9.68%)</td>
<td>5/46 (10.87%)</td>
<td>1/16 (6.25%)</td>
<td>2/24 (8.33%)</td>
<td>4/38 (10.53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9/108 (8.33%)</td>
<td>8/78 (10.25%)</td>
<td>1/30 (3.33%)</td>
<td>2/28 (7.14%)</td>
<td>7/80 (8.75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td>2/66 (3.03%)</td>
<td>2/48 (4.16%)</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>2/54 (3.70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td>10/58 (17.24%)</td>
<td>9/42 (21.43%)</td>
<td>1/16 (6.25%)</td>
<td>3/22 (13.64%)</td>
<td>7/36 (19.44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12/124 (9.67%)</td>
<td>11/90 (12.22%)</td>
<td>1/34 (2.94%)</td>
<td>3/34 (8.82%)</td>
<td>9/90 (10.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td>2/57 (3.51%)</td>
<td>2/45 (4.44%)</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>0/14</td>
<td>2/43 (4.65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td>5/63 (7.94%)</td>
<td>4/52 (7.69%)</td>
<td>1/11 (9.09%)</td>
<td>1/26 (3.85%)</td>
<td>4/37 (10.81%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7/120 (5.83%)</td>
<td>6/97 (8.18%)</td>
<td>1/23 (4.34%)</td>
<td>1/40 (2.5%)</td>
<td>6/80 (7.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td>2/56 (3.57%)</td>
<td>2/38 (5.26%)</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>0/11</td>
<td>2/45 (4.44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td>4/49 (8.16%)</td>
<td>4/36 (11.11%)</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>1/17 (5.88%)</td>
<td>3/32 (9.38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6/105 (5.71%)</td>
<td>6/74 (8.10%)</td>
<td>0/31 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1/28 (3.57%)</td>
<td>5/77 (6.49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>34/457 (7.43%)</td>
<td>31/339 (9.14%)</td>
<td>3/118 (2.54%)</td>
<td>7/130 (5.38%)</td>
<td>27/327 (8.25%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H. Kylla et al.: Detection and characterization of Genogroup 5 *Rotavirus* associated with piglet diarrhoea in the North East Region of India

**Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of the isolates.** Representative samples from each state (Meghalaya, Manipur, Mizoram and Nagaland) of the NEH region of India were sequenced (VP7 gene region) (GenBank accession no. KT032189, KT032186, KT032187 and KT032188). On the basis of the nucleotide sequence analysis, all 4 isolates in this study belonged to the G5 genotype. All 4 isolates were grouped under one cluster but distinctly placed in the phylogenetic tree, and were not associated with any of the Indian isolates. Isolates from Meghalaya (KT032189) and Mizoram (KT032186) were identical, with 100% sequence homology. No Indian isolates were closely related to the 4 isolates in the present study.

**Season-wise prevalence of Rotavirus in North Eastern Hilly Region of India.** The prevalence of *Rotavirus* in piglets of the NEH region of India is depicted in Table 3. The prevalence was significantly higher during summer (12.50%) and winter (9.09%) compared to the autumn (3.84%) and spring (2.15%).

Table 3. Season-wise prevalence of Group A rotavirus detected from faecal samples of piglets in four NEH states of India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>No. of samples screened</th>
<th>No. of samples positive (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring (March - May)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2 (2.15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer (June - August)</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>16 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn (September - November)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4 (3.84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter (December - February)</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>12 (9.09%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>34 (7.43%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

As depicted in Table 1 and Table 2, the prevalence of *Rotavirus* in young piglets in the NEH region of India was 7.43%. The difference in prevalence by RNA-PAGE and RT-PCR is well established because RNA-PGE is a less sensitive technique in comparison to RT-PCR for detection of RNA virus infection in the host (MALIK et al., 2014). The majority of previous researchers in different parts of the world recorded a higher prevalence of rotavirus in humans and/or animals (FEDOROVA et al., 2005; KUSUMAKAR et al., 2009) by RT-PCR than RNA-PAGE. The prevalence of *Rotavirus* in piglets is highly variable globally. In India, limited studies have been carried out on rotaviral infection in piglets. DUBAL et al. (2013) reported an overall prevalence of 10.18% GARV in piglets from different regions of India; in contrast, no *Rotavirus* was detected in diarrhoeal faecal samples from piglets in Bareilly and Pantnagar by RNA-PAGE and RT-PCR (KUMAR,
In central India, the incidence of porcine Rotavirus was found to be 25.71% in the swine population (KUSUMAKAR et al., 2009). The prevalence of porcine GARV infections in diarrhoeic piglets was reported to be 3.3% in Argentina (Parra et al. 2008), 4% in Southern Germany (WIELER et al., 2001), 22.3% in Thailand (KHAMRIN et al., 2007), 35.3% in Brazil (RACZ et al., 2000) and 38.3% in South Korea (KIM et al., 2010).

In the present study, the incidence of Rotavirus in diarrhoeic animals (9.14%) was much higher than in non-diarrhoeic animals (2.54%) (Table 2). A higher prevalence of rotavirus from diarrheic animals was also reported by other researchers from India and other parts of the world, with a variable prevalence rate (DUBAL et al., 2013; GATTI et al., 1993; SAIKRUAND et al., 2012). Excretion of Rotavirus is usually associated with diarrhoea in piglets, both before and after weaning. Our findings also indicate that the virus survives even after a diarrhoeal episode of a few days. An in vivo study is required to discover the survivability of the virus and shedding in the faeces after experimental infection in a laboratory, as well as in domestic animals. Rotavirus is considered to be a primary pathogen associated with diarrhoea in humans and animals, and may also appear as a concomitant infection with other enteric pathogens, viz., Picobirnavirus, E. coli, Salmonella etc (MALIK et al., 2014). Therefore, recovery of a fairly high level of rotavirus from the diarrhoeic piglets in the present study definitely indicates its role in the development of diarrhoea in the host.

The prevalence of diarrhoea associated with Rotavirus is recorded to be higher in unorganized farms (10.77%) compared to organized farms (4.00%). This result may have a direct correlation with management practices. Rotaviruses can directly enter and be transmitted through water on the farm. Small and landless farmers with a handful of animals are not able to maintain proper hygiene practices on their own and this may be the reason why they attract viral pathogens on their farms. The prevalence of Rotavirus in cross breed animals is recorded to be higher (8.25%) than local germ plasma (5.38%). Although the variation is not very wide or significant it may be possible that the local non-descriptive animals possess better protective mechanisms against natural infection than exotic or cross breed animals. In addition, the weaning age of piglets of local animals is generally 8-10 weeks in comparison with cross breed animals on organized farms (within 6 weeks). Maternal immunity might also play an important role in resisting infection in piglets.

In the present study we also recorded the seasonal variations of Rotavirus infection in piglets in the NEH states of India. Interestingly, we recorded a wide variation between summer (12.50%) and winter (9.00%), and with spring (2.15%) and autumn (3.84%). Samples were collected consistently for 2 years from similar locations with similar frequency. The humid climatic conditions during summer and winter with persistent rainfall in this region of country may be an influential factor for the persistence and consistent spread of infection among the animals. The similar climatic conditions are
also a favourable environment for other enteric pathogens such as *E. coli*, *Salmonella*, *Picobirnavirus*, and *Coronavirus* etc., which are considered to be common associated pathogens of *Rotavirus* for the development of diarrhoea in piglets. Our results are also in corroboration with the findings of other researchers (BORADE et al., 2010; DUBAL et al., 2013; KIM et al., 2010) who reported a higher prevalence of porcine GARV infections during the summer and winter. However, JEONG et al., (2009) in South Korea reported that the prevalence of porcine GCRVs was more common in the spring (44%) and winter (36%), which may be due to the maintenance of carrier animals in the herd.

All the positive samples in the present study exhibited the presence of Group A porcine *Rotavirus* and also within genogroup 5(G5). In India, only 4 G types (G4, G6, G9, G12) and 4 P types (P[6], P[7], P[13], P[19]) of rotaviruses have been detected from pigs so far (MALIK et al., 2014). Hence, this is the first report of the detection of G5 *Rotavirus* from pigs in India. Worldwide reports and surveys have identified G3, G4, G5 and G11 as the most common G genotypes and P[6] and P[7]) as the most common P genotypes associated with diarrhoea in pigs. Also rare genotypes (G1, G2-like, G6, G8, G9, G10, and G12) were reported in pigs, which are commonly associated with humans and cattle (ESTES, 2001; GOUVEA et al., 1994; MARTELLA et al., 2005; MATTHIJNSSENS et al., 2008; PAPP et al., 2013; PRABHA and VERGHESE, 2009; RAMOS et al., 1998; STEELE et al., 2004; VARGHESE et al., 2006). Reports on *Rotavirus* prevalence from the Indian subcontinent revealed that the most common G types (G1-4) and P types (P[4] and P[8]) globally account for three quarters of all strains on the subcontinent (MALIK et al., 2014). In various studies, G1-4, G6, G8-10 and G12 were reported in the human population in India (BANERJEE et al., 2006; BROOR et al., 2003; DAS et al., 2002; RAMANI and KANG, 2007). An earlier report from Jammu and Kashmir, India, revealed G10 to be the predominant genotype of bovine *Rotavirus* (WANI et al., 2004), whereas MINAKSHI (1999) reported G10 and G6 genotypes of bovine *Rotavirus* from calf diarrhoea cases. However, DUBAL et al. (2013) reported G4 and G9 porcine *Rotavirus* isolates from the western part of India.

Sequence analysis of G5 *Rotavirus* in the present study exhibited 99.4% to 100% homology (Fig. 1) among the isolates, which indicates the wide circulation of a particular viral strain in this region of India. All the isolates were grouped under one cluster in the phylogenetic tree and showed closer identity with human isolates, particularly Chinese strains, than with porcine strains. Usually the rotaviruses are species-specific, there is a possibility of cross-species transmission. Various case studies worldwide and in India have indicated that infection in humans may be caused by animal rotaviruses. A close identity is often revealed between human and animal rotaviruses, when the genetic sequences are compared. The evolution of porcine rotaviruses, especially through the exchange of genomic segments between the different host specific rotaviruses, leads to the emergence of novel porcine strains with the capability to infect human beings.
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Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree showing genetic relatedness of the *Rotavirus* isolated from piglets of 4 North Eastern hilly states of India and other *Rotavirus* isolates from humans and animals in India and other countries, based upon the nucleotide sequences of the VP7 gene region of GRA *Rotavirus*. All the 4 isolates in the present study are demarcated by a dot.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion it may be stated that rotaviruses are persistently associated with piglet diarrhoea in the NEH region of India. The prevalence of rotaviruses is high in the summer and winter seasons compared to the autumn and spring seasons, with a higher prevalence recorded in cross breed pigs compared to the local pigs. On the basis of sequence analysis, the isolates were found to be unique and placed in a separate cluster, and they are not closely associated with any other Indian isolates of rotaviruses found so far. All the isolates were under group A *Rotavirus* and under geno group 5. This is the first report on the prevalence of G5 rotaviruses associated with piglet diarrhoea in India.
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SAŽETAK

Rotavirusi su prepoznati kao važan etiološki čimbenik nebakterijskog akutnog gastroenteritisa kod male djece i različitih vrsta životinja. Kod prasadi to uključuje i proljev koji se pojavljuje pri odbiću i nakon odbića. U ovom je radu prikazana prevalencija i molekularna epidemiologija rotavirusa otkrivenih kod prasadi iz različitih dijelova sjeveroistočnog, brdovitog područja Indije. U razdoblju od srpnja 2013. do lipnja 2015. godine, tijekom različitih sezona, prikupljeno je ukupno 457 uzoraka fecesa (339 proljevastih i 118 neproljevastih). Uzorci su potjecali od prasadi lokalnih (n = 130) i križnih pasmina (n = 327). Svi su uzorci podvrgnuti RNA-PAGE i RT-PCR analizama. Rotavirusi su otkriveni u 4,81 % životinja pomoću RNA-PAGE i 7,43 % životinja pomoću RT-PCR analize. Najveća prevalencija (9,67 %) utvrđena je u državi Meghalaya. Svi su izolati registrirani kao GARV i genogrupa 5. Prevalencija je bila viša u slabo organiziranim farmama (10,77 %) u usporedbi s dobro organiziranim farmama (4,0 %), s većom učestalošću otkrivanja kod životinja koje su imale proljev (9,14 %) u odnosu na životinje bez proljeva (2,54 %). Također, veća je prevalencija utvrđena tijekom ljetnih (12,5 %) i zimskih (9,09 %) sezona. Na temelju analize sekvencija svi su izolati smješteni u jedinstveni pojedinačni skup (klaster). Taj je skup različit od drugih indijskih izolata ljudi i životinja u kojima je bio u neposrednoj blizini izolata ljudi. Ovo je prvo izvješće o otkrivanju rotavirusa G5 povezanih s proljevom prasadi u Indiji.

Ključne riječi: rotavirus; prevalencija; Indija; genogrupa 5; prasad